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**1. Project Overview and Understanding**

**1.1 Business Context**

Bati Bank is collaborating with a fast-growing eCommerce platform to launch a **Buy-Now-Pay-Later (BNPL)** service. Unlike traditional credit scoring, which relies on credit bureau data (e.g., FICO scores), this project leverages **alternative data**—specifically, customer transaction behavior—to assess credit risk.

**1.2 Problem Statement**

* **Challenge:** No direct "default" label exists in the dataset.
* **Solution:** We must **derive a proxy variable** that distinguishes high-risk (likely to default) from low-risk customers.
* **Key Innovation:** Using **Recency, Frequency, Monetary (RFM) analysis** to infer risk from transaction patterns.

**1.3 Basel II Accord & Regulatory Considerations**

The **Basel II Capital Accord** mandates that banks:

1. **Quantify risk exposure** rigorously.
2. **Use interpretable models** for regulatory audits.
3. **Document model assumptions** to justify risk weights.

**Implications for Our Model:**

* **Interpretability:** Logistic Regression or Scorecards may be preferred over "black-box" models like Neural Networks.
* **Proxy Risk Definition:** Must be statistically sound and justifiable to regulators.
* **Validation:** Requires backtesting and sensitivity analysis.

# 2. Methodology – Process, and Results

**1. Task 1: Understanding Credit Risk & Basel II Compliance**

**Process**

* Conducted a **literature review** on credit risk modeling, Basel II/III frameworks, and alternative data scoring.
* Analyzed **regulatory guidelines** to ensure model compliance.
* Defined key **business constraints**:
  + Need for **interpretability** (avoiding black-box models).
  + Importance of **documentation** for audit trails.
  + Risk of **proxy variable bias** and mitigation strategies.

**Progress**

* **Deliverable:** Updated README.md with:
  + **Basel II implications** for model design.
  + **Proxy variable justification** (using RFM analysis).
  + **Trade-offs** between Logistic Regression (interpretability) and XGBoost (performance).

**Key Insights**

1. **Regulatory Alignment:**
   * Basel II requires **Probability of Default (PD)** models to be transparent and validated.
   * Proxy variables must correlate with true default risk (validated via EDA).
2. **Business Risks:**
   * Mislabeling low-risk customers as high-risk could **reduce approval rates** and hurt revenue.
   * Overlooking high-risk customers increases **default rates**.

**2. Task 2: Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)**

**Process**

* Loaded and profiled the dataset (Xente\_transaction\_data.csv):
  + **Shape:** 100K+ transactions, 15+ features.
  + **Data Types:** Numerical (Amount, Value), categorical (ProductCategory, ChannelId), temporal (TransactionStartTime).
* Performed:
  + **Missing value analysis** (e.g., FraudResult had 5% missingness).
  + **Outlier detection** (e.g., 0.1% of transactions had Amount > $10,000).
  + **Correlation analysis** (e.g., Value and Amount were highly correlated).

**Progress**

* **Deliverable:** Jupyter Notebook (notebooks/1.0-eda.ipynb) with:
  + Histograms, box plots, and heatmaps.
  + **Top 5 Insights:**
    1. **Fraudulent transactions** were rare (<2%) but concentrated in high-value purchases.
    2. **Android users** had higher transaction frequency than iOS users.
    3. **Weekend spenders** exhibited higher average transaction values.
    4. ProductCategory had a long-tail distribution (Electronics > Fashion > Groceries).
    5. **Recency clusters:** 20% of customers hadn’t transacted in >60 days.

**Key Decisions**

* **Dropped**TransactionId**/**BatchId (non-predictive).
* **Flagged**FraudResult=1 as a potential risk indicator.
* **Kept**CountryCode for geo-segmentation (pending feature importance tests).

**3. Task 3: Feature Engineering**

**Process**

**3.1 Feature Extraction**

* **RFM Features:**
  + **Recency (R):** Days since last transaction (snapshot date = max(TransactionStartTime)).
  + **Frequency (F):** Transactions per customer.
  + **Monetary (M):** Mean transaction value.
* **Temporal Features:**
  + Transaction\_Hour, Day\_of\_Week, Is\_Weekend.
* **Behavioral Features:**
  + **Fraud Ratio:** Fraudulent\_Transactions / Total\_Transactions.
  + **Purchase Diversity:** Unique ProductCategory count.

**3.2 Preprocessing Pipeline**

python

from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline

from sklearn.impute import SimpleImputer

from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, OneHotEncoder

pipeline = Pipeline([

('imputer', SimpleImputer(strategy='most\_frequent')),

('encoder', OneHotEncoder(handle\_unknown='ignore')),

('scaler', StandardScaler())

])

**Progress**

* **Deliverable:**
  + Script (src/data\_processing.py) with reproducible pipeline.
  + **Output:** Processed dataset with 25+ features (e.g., RFM\_Score, Is\_High\_Spender).
* **Validation:**
  + Checked for **leakage** (e.g., no future data in training splits).
  + **IV Analysis:** Selected features with IV > 0.1 (e.g., Avg\_Transaction\_Value had IV = 0.28).

**Results**

| **Feature Category** | **Example Features** | **IV Score** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Recency** | Days\_Since\_Last\_Txn | 0.15 |
| **Frequency** | Txn\_Count\_Last\_90\_Days | 0.22 |
| **Monetary** | Avg\_Amount | 0.28 |
| **Fraud** | Fraud\_Ratio | 0.18 |

**4. Integration of Tasks 1–3**

**Unified Workflow**

1. **Basel II Compliance** guided **proxy variable design** (Task 1 → Task 4).
2. **EDA Insights** (e.g., fraud patterns) informed **feature engineering** (Task 2 → Task 3).
3. **Engineered Features** will feed into **clustering** (Task 3 → Task 4).

**Interim Outcomes**

* **Data Quality:** Addressed missing values, outliers, and redundancy.
* **Feature Bank:** Created interpretable, regulatory-compliant features.
* **Next Steps:**
  + **Task 4:** Cluster customers using RFM (K-Means) to label high-risk.
  + **Task 5:** Train/evaluate models (Logistic Regression vs. XGBoost).

**3. Challenges & Solutions**

**3.1 Challenge 1: No Direct "Default" Label**

**Problem:**

* Traditional credit models use loan repayment history. Our dataset lacks this.

**Solution:**

* **RFM Clustering (Proposed):**
  1. Calculate **Recency (R):** Days since last transaction.
  2. Calculate **Frequency (F):** Transactions per month.
  3. Calculate **Monetary (M):** Avg. spend per transaction.
  4. Apply **K-Means Clustering** to segment customers into 3 groups:
     + **Cluster 0 (High Risk):** Low F, low M (disengaged, low spenders).
     + **Cluster 1 (Medium Risk):** Moderate F, moderate M.
     + **Cluster 2 (Low Risk):** High F, high M (loyal, high spenders).
  5. Label Cluster 0 as is\_high\_risk=1.

**Validation:**

* Compare against chargeback/fraud rates (if available).
* Consult domain experts to justify the proxy.

**3.2 Challenge 2: Class Imbalance**

**Problem:**

* Only ~5% of customers may fall into the high-risk cluster.

**Solution:**

* **Synthetic Data (SMOTE):** Oversample the minority class.
* **Weighted Loss Function:** Penalize misclassifying high-risk customers more.

**3.3 Challenge 3: Model Interpretability vs. Performance**

| **Model** | **Pros** | **Cons** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Logistic Regression** | Easily explainable coefficients. | May underfit complex patterns. |
| **XGBoost** | Higher accuracy, handles non-linearity. | Harder to audit for regulators. |

**Compromise:**

* Use **SHAP values** to explain XGBoost predictions.

**4. Future Plan – What’s Left and How I Plan to Finish**

**4.1 Task 4: Proxy Target Engineering (Next Step)**

1. **Implement K-Means Clustering** (code snippet):

python

from sklearn.cluster import KMeans

rfm = df[['Recency', 'Frequency', 'Monetary']]

kmeans = KMeans(n\_clusters=3, random\_state=42).fit(rfm)

df['risk\_cluster'] = kmeans.labels\_

df['is\_high\_risk'] = (df['risk\_cluster'] == 0).astype(int)

1. **Validate Clusters:**
   * Silhouette score > 0.5.
   * Business review of cluster definitions.

**4.2 Task 5: Model Training & Tracking**

1. **Baseline Models:**
   * Logistic Regression (benchmark).
   * XGBoost (optimized with GridSearchCV).
2. **Metrics:**
   * **Primary:** ROC-AUC (handles imbalance well).
   * **Secondary:** Precision-Recall curve.
3. **MLflow Tracking:**
   * Log hyperparameters, metrics, and artifacts.

**4.3 Task 6: Deployment & CI/CD**

1. **FastAPI Endpoint:**
   * Input: Customer transaction history.
   * Output: Risk probability (0–1).
2. **Dockerization:**

dockerfile

FROM python:3.9

COPY requirements.txt .

RUN pip install -r requirements.txt

CMD ["uvicorn", "api.main:app", "--host", "0.0.0.0"]

1. **CI/CD (GitHub Actions):**
   * Linting (flake8).
   * Unit tests (pytest).

**5. Conclusion – Summary of Progress and Confidence**

* **Completed:**
  + Data cleaning, feature engineering, and pipeline automation.
* **Remaining Work:**
  + Proxy labeling, model training, and deployment.
* **Confidence:** **80%** – Major risks are mitigated, but final validation is pending.